### SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 2005 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S129257** M. (KENNETH), IN RE

C046285 Third Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 21, 2005

S129407 PEOPLE v. JORDAN

H026133 Sixth Appellate District Time extended to grant or deny review

to February 21, 2005

S129417 CCPA v. COUNTY OF SONOMA

A100714 First Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review Division Three

to February 21, 2005

S030553 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (GEORGE)

Extension of time granted

to March 21, 2005 to file respondent's brief.

S042659 PEOPLE v. COOK (JOSEPH)

Extension of time granted

to March 15, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Arlene A. Sevidal's representation that she anticipates filing that

brief by 5/14/2005.

S049596 PEOPLE v. BRYANT, WHEELER & SMITH

Extension of time granted

to February 17, 2005 to file respondent's brief.

S053228

## PEOPLE v. ALEXANDER (ANDRE STEPHEN) Extension of time granted

to March 21, 2005 to file appellant's reply brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Susan Ten Kwan's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 7/20/2005.

S054774

## PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (KEITH DESMOND) Extension of time granted

to March 15, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 110 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Ellen J. Eggers's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 7/4/2005.

S055130

## PEOPLE v. MORGAN (EDWARD P.) Extension of time granted

to March 21, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 26 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Randall D. Einhorn's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 4/15/2005.

S074804

# PEOPLE v. HARTSCH (CISCO) Extension of time granted

to March 21, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 255 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public William Hassler's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 11/30/2005.

S089623

### PEOPLE v. WILSON (LESTER)

Extension of time granted

to March 21, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Alana Cohen Butler's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 5/20/2005.

S099231

#### BOLDEN (CLIFFORD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to February 14, 2005 to file the reply to the return to the order to show cause. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S110541

#### PEOPLE v. MURTISHAW (DAVID LESLIE)

Extension of time granted

to March 21, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief.

S129115

#### KIPP (MARTIN JAMES) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to February 14, 2005 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 75 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Randall D. Einhorn's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 4/30/2005.

S129989

### JACKSON (NOEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to February 17, 2005 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 90 additional days will

be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Bradley A. Weinreb's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 5/18/2005.

S108587

IN THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS PURSUANT TO RULE 962, CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT Order filed

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to Family Code 17520, the suspension of **Ian Noel** pursuant to our order filed on December 13, 2004, is hereby terminated. This order is final forthwith.

S130624

B178842 Second Appellate District, Division Four CEJA v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Transferred to CA 2

for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition shall be denied.